

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Anthony L. Fontaine <i>et al.</i>	Examiner:	Bayat, Bradley B
Application No.:	10/033,716	Group Art Unit:	3621
Filing Date:	December 27, 2001	Office Action Date:	March 13, 2006
Docket No.	10407-559	Confirmation No.	8636
Title:	REMOTE ACCESS VERIFICATION ENVIRONMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD		
	Customer No.	30076	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This amendment is filed in response to the final Office action of March 13, 2006, and is timely filed with a one-month extension of time.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 13 of this paper.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-68, and 70-76 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-68, 70-76 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goertzel *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,308,273) in view of Mark (U.S. Patent No. 5,732,133). Claims 22, 25, and 69 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goertzel *et al.* No claims have been added or canceled. Claims 1, 30, 35, 41, 43, and 48 have been amended to clarify the claimed invention and not for reasons related to patentability. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims. Applicants respectfully contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the cited references.